

OBJECTIVE B-FIELDS AND A HITCHIN-KOBAYASHI CORRESPONDENCE

SHUGUANG WANG

ABSTRACT. A simple trick invoking objective B-fields is employed to refine the concept of characteristic classes for twisted bundles. Then the objective stability and objective Einstein metrics are introduced and a new Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence is established between them. As an application the $SO(3)$ -instanton moduli space is proved to be always orientable.

Keywords: Objective Chern classes/Hermitian-Einstein metric/stable bundle; Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence; orientable $SO(3)$ -instanton moduli space.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 53C07, 57R20, 58A05.

0. INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been intensive literature on gerbes starting from Brylinski's book [4], in which the Chern-Weil theory of gerbes was carried out. The geometry of abelian gerbes was further refined and clarified by Murray [22] and Chatterjee [6], Hitchin [13] from two different view-points. These objects have found applications in Physics for the descriptions of the so-called B-fields and the twisted K-theory according to [1, 3, 18, 24] among many others. A real version of gerbes is presented in [28].

In one aspect gerbes are utilized to define twisted bundles where the usual cocycle condition fails to hold. The concept of twisted principal bundles was explicitly proposed in [19, 20] where their holonomy is studied, while the authors in [2, 23] introduced bundle gerbe modules in a different setting for the generalization of the index theory. In the context of algebraic geometry these are Azumaya bundles via the description of gerbes in terms of Brauer groups, see [21, 31, 5] for example.

The first main purpose in our paper is to propose a new concept of Chern classes for twisted vector bundles as a refinement of existing definitions from [2, 5]. In fact the earlier definitions are not completely

satisfactory in the sense that the one give in [2] is not quite topological because of the dependence on different curvings, while the definition in [5] involves an un-specified choice of a second twisted bundle. Our approach can be viewed as a balance of the two. To explain the key idea behind, recognizing that the underlying gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ of a rank m -twisted vector bundle E is an m -torsion, we select a trivialization L of $\mathcal{L}^m$. To remove the dependence on the B-fields B (namely curvings), we restrict to a special B so that mB is objective with respect to L . Given a twisted connection D on E , twisted over a gerbe connection $\mathcal{A}$, we use their curvatures and B together to define closed global Chern forms $\phi_k(E, L; \mathcal{A}, B, D)$.

Theorem 0.1. *The L -objective Chern classes of E , defined as $c_k^L(E) = [\phi_k(E, L; \mathcal{A}, B, D)] \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbf{R})$, are topological invariants of E . In other words, $c_k^L(E)$ are independent of the choices made for $D, \mathcal{A}, B$.*

(The usage of the word “objective” throughout the paper is inspired by [6].) It seems likely that Theorem 0.1 will provide an alternative starting point to the current research [3, 2, 23, 31] concerning a host of index theorems and twisted K-theory.

With objective Chern classes and properly defined twisted subbundles, we further introduce objective stability. Moreover by fixing a metric on L , we are able to characterize objective Einstein metrics so that we can formulate and generalize the Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence as follows. (A possible statement as such was alluded in [7].) The original correspondence for untwisted bundles was a corner-stone result that had been established in [17, 8, 26, 9].

Theorem 0.2. *Suppose E is a rank- m indecomposable holomorphic bundle twisted over a gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ and L is a holomorphic trivialization of $\mathcal{L}^m$. Then E is L -objective stable iff E admits an H -objective Einstein metric for some Hermitian metric H on L .*

The theorem is new only if the base manifold has complex dimension at least 2. For the case of a complex curve, any gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ must be trivial hence no twisting occurs. But one might still ask about stable bundles and Yang-Mills connections on non-orientable Riemann surfaces, compare [27] for example.

Our consideration of trivializations L of $\mathcal{L}^m$ is rather natural and motivated in part by the lifting of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -bundles. More precisely when an $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -bundle S is spin^c , lifting its structure group from $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ to

$U(2)$ one has the spinor bundle E with determinant line bundle $\det E$. In general if S is not spin^c , one can only have a twisted $U(2)$ -bundle E over a non-trivial gerbe $\mathcal{L}$. Then a trivialization L of $\mathcal{L}^2$ is a replacement of the determinant. In this regard, we get the following result as an application of our theory:

Proposition 0.3. *The moduli space of anti-self dual connections on any $SO(3)$ -bundle S over a 4-manifold is orientable, whenever it is smooth.*

This is a small generalization of Donaldson's theorem [11] where S is assumed to be spin^c . Donaldson's theorem has proved to be quite crucial for various gauge theoretic applications.

Here is a brief description of the paper. After reviewing and setting up notations for gerbes and so on in Section 1, we develop the objective Chern-Weil theory and prove Theorem 0.1 in Section 2. The fundamental concepts of objective subbundles, Einstein metrics and stability are laid out in Sections 3 and 4. Then we devote Sections 5, 6 to the proofs of the two directions in Theorem 0.2. Finally Section 7 contains the proof of Proposition 0.3.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Yongbin Ruan for several contributions and discussions that proved to be quite helpful. The early portion of the paper was written while the author was visiting IHES in the summer of 2008.

1. REVIEW OF GERBES, B-FIELDS AND TWISTED BUNDLES

All vector bundles, differential forms will be defined on the complex field $\mathbf{C}$ unless otherwise indicated.

To set up notations, first recall the definition of gerbes from [6, 13]. Let X be a smooth manifold X bearing an open cover $\{U_i\}$. A *gerbe* $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{ij}\}$ consists of line bundles $L_{ij} \rightarrow U_i \cap U_j$ with given isomorphisms

$$(1) \quad L_{ij} = L_{ji}^{-1}, \quad L_{ij} \otimes L_{jk} = L_{ik}$$

on their common domains of definition. Using a good or refinement cover if necessary, we can assume L_{ij} are trivial bundles. Choose trivializations ξ_{ij} for L_{ij} . They should be compatible with (1) in that

$$(2) \quad \xi_{ij} = \xi_{ji}^{-1}, \quad \xi_{ij} \otimes \xi_{jk} = z_{ijk} \xi_{ik}$$

where $\underline{z} = \{z_{ijk}\}$ forms a Čech 2-cycle of the sheaf $\underline{\mathbf{C}}^*$ of nowhere vanishing complex functions on X . One imposes that $\underline{z}$ be co-closed: $\delta \underline{z} = 1$.

Thus the *gerbe class* $[\mathcal{L}] := [\underline{z}] \in \check{H}^2(X, \underline{\mathbf{C}}^*)$ is defined. Two gerbes are *isomorphic* if they become the same on a common refinement. This is equivalent to their gerbe classes being the same. As in the case of bundles, it is often convenient not to distinguish a gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ from its class $[\mathcal{L}]$. One can view $[\mathcal{L}] \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$ according to the natural isomorphism $\check{H}^2(X, \underline{\mathbf{C}}^*) \rightarrow H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$, which comes from the short exact sequence $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{C}}^*$. Gerbes form an Abelian group under the tensor product.

From (1), one has a trivialization s_{ijk} of $L_{ijk} := L_{ij} \otimes L_{jk} \otimes L_{ki}$ as part of the gerbe definition. In [6, 13], a gerbe connection $\{A_{ij}, B_i\}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ consists of two parts: connections A_{ij} on L_{ij} and complex 2-forms B_i on U_i , such that 1) s_{ijk} is a covariant constant trivialization of L_{ijk} under the induced product connection using A_{ij} , and 2) $B_j - B_i = F_{A_{ij}}$ on $U_i \cap U_j$ for the curvature of A_{ij} . However in this paper we will separate them and call $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{ij}\}$ alone a *gerbe connection* and give a more prominent role to $B = \{B_i\}$, which we call a ($\mathcal{A}$ -compatible) *B-field* from its physics interpretation. The closed local 3-form $\{dB_i\}$ can be patched together to yield a global form G , called the *gerbe curvature* of the pair $\mathcal{A}, B$. The class $[G] \in H^3(X, \mathbf{R})$ is the real image of $[\mathcal{L}] \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$, hence independent of the choice of $\mathcal{A}, B$. Moreover every representative of the real image can be realized by some B-field and connection. Here is a collection of useful facts to recall:

Proposition 1.1. *The following are equivalent.*

- 1) $\mathcal{L}$ is a torsion, i.e. $m[\mathcal{L}] = 0 \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$ for some integer m .
- 2) Under a suitable cover of X , $[\mathcal{L}]$ can be represented by a Čech 2-cycle $\underline{z} = \{z_{ijk}\}$ such that $z_{ijk}^m = 1$ for some fixed m . (Hence $\underline{z}$ must be locally constant.)
- 3) The real gerbe class of $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial, namely the image $[\mathcal{L}] = 0$ in $H^3(X, \mathbf{R})$.
- 4) $\mathcal{L}$ is flat, i.e. there exists a gerbe connection $\mathcal{A}$ with a compatible B-field B such that the curvature 3-form $G = 0$.

Next suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial, i.e. its class $[\mathcal{L}] = 0 \in \check{H}^2(X, \underline{\mathbf{C}}^*)$. Then $\underline{z}$ is a coboundary of a Čech 1-cocycle, which leads to a *trivialization* $L = \{L_i\}$ of $\mathcal{L}$, namely line bundles L_i on U_i such that $L_j = L_i \otimes L_{ij}$ when restricted to $U_i \cap U_j$. Given a second trivialization $L' = \{L'_i\}$, we have a global line bundle ℓ on X , called the *difference bundle* of L and L' . We will denote $\ell = L \ominus L'$. A *trivialization* of a gerbe connection

$\mathcal{A}$ is a family of connections $A = \{A_i\}$ on $\{L_i\}$ subject to an analogous condition. Since the 1-form sheaf is a fine sheaf, trivializations always exist for any gerbe connection but not unique. Given a second trivializing connection A' on L' , we have a *difference connection* $A \ominus A'$ on the bundle ℓ .

Given a trivializing connection A of $\mathcal{A}$, the collection of local curvature forms $\{F_{A_i}\}$ is a B-field compatible with $\mathcal{A}$; but not every compatible B-field has this form (i.e. from some trivializing connection of $\mathcal{A}$). Given a compatible B-field B and a trivializing connection A , the difference $\epsilon := B_i - F_{A_i}$ is a global 2-form on X . This *error form* ϵ is essential in defining the gerbe holonomy of $\mathcal{A}$. Following [6] we call B *objective* if $\epsilon = 0$ for some A . A necessary condition is that B_i be closed. Suppose so, then the error form ϵ with any trivializing connection is also closed hence gives rise to a class $[\epsilon] \in H^2(X, \mathbf{R})$. In this language, objective B-fields are exactly those closed B-fields such that the error class $[\epsilon]$ lives in the lattice $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z}) \subset H^2(X, \mathbf{R})$. Here of course a gerbe connection $\mathcal{A}$ has been fixed throughout.

Now is a good time to set out the following guide for our notations in the paper:

Remark 1.2. We will continue using script letters such as $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{A}$ for things defined on intersections of open sets $\{U_i\}$ and reserve standard block letters L, B, A etc for objects defined on open sets themselves.

A trivialization $L = \{L_i\}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ can also be viewed as a twisted line bundle over $\mathcal{L}$. In general, given an arbitrary gerbe $\mathcal{L}$, an *$\mathcal{L}$ -twisted vector bundle* $E = \{E_i\}$ on X consists of a collection of local bundles of the same rank such that $E_j = E_i \otimes L_{ij}$ on $U_i \cap U_j$. We will also say that E is over the *twisting gerbe* $\mathcal{L}$. (Twisted principal bundles are first defined in [19].) In a similar spirit, one defines a *twisted connection* $D = \{D_i\}$ on E over a gerbe connection $\mathcal{A}$. We will adopt the following convenient notations to indicate the twisting gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ and the twisting connection $\mathcal{A}$:

$$E \prec \mathcal{L}, D \prec \mathcal{A}.$$

Twisted bundles/connections are not necessarily mysterious: simply put, these are so defined that their projections are just regular fiber bundles and connections respectively. For any 1-dimensional vector space V , $V \otimes V^*$ carries a canonical basis. It follows that the local endomorphism bundles $\{\text{End}(E_i)\}$ naturally fit together to produce a global vector bundle on X , in view of $\text{End}(E_i) = E_i \otimes E_i^*$. We denote it by $\text{End}(E)$. Note that the wedge product twisted bundle is over $\mathcal{L}^r$:

$$\wedge^r E = \{\wedge^r E_i\} \prec \mathcal{L}^r = \{\otimes^r L_{ij}\}.$$

In particular $\det E$ gives rise to a trivialization of $\mathcal{L}^m$, where $m = \text{rank} E$. In other words, the underlying gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ of E must be an m -torsion.

Other operations can be introduced as well: one has the dual $E^* \prec \mathcal{L}^{-1}$. If $E' \prec \mathcal{L}'$ then $E \otimes E' \prec \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}'$. But for $E \oplus E'$, one must impose $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}'$; then $E \oplus E' \prec \mathcal{L}$.

2. OBJECTIVE CHERN CLASSES FOR TWISTED BUNDLES

We now develop a new version of Chern-Weil theory for rank m -twisted vector bundles. Fix a gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ with connection $\mathcal{A}$ and B-field B . Assume $\mathcal{L}$ is an m -torsion. By Proposition 1.1, we can choose B to be flat so that all B_i are closed 2-forms. For a twisted rank m -vector bundle $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ with connection $D \prec \mathcal{A}$, it is easy to check that B -twisted curvature of D :

$$\tilde{F}_D = F_D - B_i I$$

is a global section of $\Omega^2(\text{End}(E))$. In order to get the expected topological results we will need to place a crucial restriction on B .

Theorem 2.1. *Consider an m -torsion gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ with connection $\mathcal{A}$ and B -field B . Let $L = \{L_i\}$ be a trivialization of $\mathcal{L}^m$ and $A = \{A_i\}$ be that of $\mathcal{A}^m$. Assume the B -field mB on $\mathcal{L}^m$ to be objective: $mB = \{F_{A_i}\}$ (one may call B relative objective).*

Let E be a rank- m twisted vector bundle over $\mathcal{L}$ and $D = \{D_i\}$ a twisted connection over $\mathcal{A}$. Introduce the total Chern form

$$\phi(E, L; \mathcal{A}, B, D) = \det(I + \frac{i}{2\pi} \tilde{F}_D).$$

- 1) *Each $\phi_k(E, L; \mathcal{A}, B, D)$ is a closed $2k$ form on X .*
- 2) *The class $[\phi_k] \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbf{R})$ is independent of the choices of $\mathcal{A}, B, D$.*
- 3) *Suppose $L' = \{L'_i\}$ is a second trivialization of $\mathcal{L}^m$ and $A' = \{A'_i\}$ is a trivialization of $\mathcal{A}^m$ on L' . Let B' be the B -field on $\mathcal{L}$ such that $mB' = \{F_{A'_i}\}$. Then the following holds:*

$$[\phi_k(E, L'; \mathcal{A}, B', D)] = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{C(m-i, k-i)}{m^{k-i}} [\phi_i(E, L; \mathcal{A}, B, D)] c_1(\ell)^{k-i}$$

where $\ell = L \ominus L'$ is the difference line bundle of L, L' .

Proof. 1) Following the standard Chern-Weil theory (for example from Chapter III of [30]), it suffices to show a Bianchi type identity still holds:

$$(3) \quad d\tilde{F}_D = [\tilde{F}_D, \tilde{\theta}]$$

for some $\tilde{\theta} \in \Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$ on any small open set $V \subset U_i$. To prove the identity, if V is small enough, there is a line bundle $K \rightarrow V$ such that $L_i = K^m$. Set $A_i = G^m$ for some unique connection G on K . Then $B_i = \frac{1}{m}F_{A_i} = F_G$. It follows that

$$\tilde{F}_D = F_{D_i} - F_G I = F_{\tilde{D}}$$

for the curvature of the tensor product connection $\tilde{D} = D \otimes G^{-1}$ on $E_i \otimes K^{-1}$. Now the standard Bianchi identity for $\tilde{D}$ yields (3), where $\tilde{\theta}$ is the connection matrix of $\tilde{D}$ under a local frame.

2) Note that $\mathcal{A}$ and B are both determined uniquely by A (although $\mathcal{L}$ is not so by L). It is enough to show more generally that $[\phi_k]$ is independent of the choices of D, A . For a second pair of choices $D' = \{D'_i\}, A' = \{A'_i\}$, consider the one-parameter families $D(t) = \{tD_i + (1-t)D'_i\}, A(t) = \{tA_i + (1-t)A'_i\}$. Then $A(t)$ determines families of gerbe connections $\mathcal{A}(t)$ and objective B-fields $B(t)$ on $\mathcal{L}$. Moreover $D(t)$ is a twisted connection over $\mathcal{A}(t)$ for each t .

Set the family $\tilde{F}(t) = F_{D(t)} - B(t)I$. On a small enough open set $V \subset U_i$, let $L_i = K^m$ as above and $G(t)$ be the family of connections on K determined by $A_i(t)$. Then $\tilde{F}(t) = F_{\tilde{D}(t)}$, the curvature of the tensor product connections $\tilde{D}(t) = D(t) \otimes G(t)^{-1}$ on $E_i \otimes K^{-1}$. Thus

$$\tilde{F}(t) = d\tilde{\theta}(t) + \tilde{\theta}(t) \wedge \tilde{\theta}(t)$$

for the connection matrix $\tilde{\theta}(t)$ of $\tilde{D}(t)$ under a frame. Consequently

$$\dot{\tilde{F}} = d\dot{\tilde{\theta}} + [\dot{\tilde{\theta}}, \tilde{\theta}].$$

As in the standard Chern-Weil theory [30], the above formula together with the Bianchi formula (3) shows that

$$\phi_k(E, L; \mathcal{A}', B', D') - \phi_k(E, L; \mathcal{A}, B, D)$$

is an exact form. Hence $[\phi_k(E, L; \mathcal{A}', B', D')] = [\phi_k(E, L; \mathcal{A}, B, D)]$.

3) Here it is important to be able to keep the same gerbe connection $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ and hence the same twisted connection D on E . In other words a different trivialization L' will only impact on the B-field via A' . Now

$$F_D - B'I = F_D - BI + (B - B')I = F_D - BI + \frac{1}{m}F_\alpha I,$$

where α is the difference connection of A, A' on ℓ . Under a frame, write $F_D - BI$ as a matrix (F_j^i) of 2-forms. Then $F_D - B'I$ is given by the matrix $(F_j^i + \frac{1}{m}\delta_j^i F_\alpha)$. Applying the classical formula to $\det(I + F_D -$

BI), one has

$$\phi_k(E, L; \mathcal{A}, B, D) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k k!} \sum \delta_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_k} F_{j_1}^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge F_{j_k}^{i_k}.$$

Doing the same for $\det(I + F_D - B'I)$ will give

$$\phi_k(E, L'; \mathcal{A}, B', D) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k k!} \sum \delta_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_k} (F_{j_1}^{i_1} + \frac{1}{m} \delta_{j_1}^{i_1} F_\alpha) \wedge \dots \wedge (F_{j_k}^{i_k} + \frac{1}{m} \delta_{j_k}^{i_k} F_\alpha).$$

These formulas together will give us the desired result for their classes after noting $c_1(\ell) = [F_\alpha]$. q.e.d.

In particular if L' is flat equivalent to L , namely if ℓ is flat, then $c_1(\ell) = 0 \in H^2(X, \mathbf{R})$ and the class $[\phi_k]$ remains the same. This is the case if L' is isomorphic to L (i.e. ℓ is trivial). Thus it makes sense to introduce:

Definition 2.2. Suppose $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ is a rank- m twisted bundle and $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m$ is a trivialization. The L -objective Chern class of E is defined as follows:

$$c_k^L(E) = [\phi_k(E, L; \mathcal{A}, B, D)] \in H^{2k}(X, \mathbf{R}),$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is any gerbe connection on $\mathcal{L}$, D is any twisted connection on E and B is a B-field compatible with $\mathcal{A}$ such that mB is objective.

Remark 2.3. Alternatively one could define a twisted bundle as a *pair* (E, L) where $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ and $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m$ in our notations. Then one could define the Chern classes for (E, L) without the cumbersome prefix “ L -objective”. For us the advantage of separating L from E is that we can illustrate better the dependence on L as shown in the next corollary.

Corollary 2.4. Suppose $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ and $L, L' \prec \mathcal{L}^m$. Then

$$c_k^{L'}(E) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{C(m-i, k-i)}{m^{k-i}} c_i^L(E) c_1(\ell)^{k-i}$$

in terms of the difference line bundle $\ell = L \ominus L'$. In particular

$$c_1^{L'}(E) = c_1^L(E) + c_1(\ell).$$

Recall $\wedge^r E \prec \mathcal{L}^r$ for any integer $r \leq m$. Setting $w = C(m-1, r-1)$, one has also

$$c_1^{L^w}(\wedge^r E) = w c_1^L(E).$$

In particular $c_1^L(\det E) = c_1^L(E)$ as one might expect.

Proof. The first formula is a translation of Part 3) of Theorem 2.1. To show the third formula, note that $\text{rank } \wedge^r E = C(m, r)$ and

$$rC(m, r) = mC(m-1, r-1) = mw.$$

Hence L^w is a trivialization of $\mathcal{L}^{rC(m,r)}$, which carries the B-field $C(m,r)rB$. Let $\wedge D$ denote the induced twisted connection on $\wedge^r E$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} c_1^{L^w}(\wedge^r E) &= \text{tr} F_{\wedge D} - C(m,r)rB \\ &= w \text{tr} F_D - mwB = w(\text{tr} F_D - mB) \\ &= wc_1^L(E) \end{aligned}$$

delivering us the desired formula. q.e.d.

Remark 2.5. One could in particular use the special trivialization $\det E = \wedge^m E \prec \mathcal{L}^m$ to introduce $c_k(E) := c_k^{\det E}(E)$. For any other trivialization $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m$, the previous corollary then yields

$$c_k^L(E) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{C(m-i, k-i)}{m^{k-i}} c_i(E) c_1(\ell)^{k-i}$$

using the difference bundle $\ell = \det E \ominus L$. Note $c_1(E) = 0$ and the formula above implies that $c_1^L(E) = c_1(\ell)$ is always an integer class.

The following example from gauge theory has been a useful guide for us and will also show why it is important to expand $c_k(E)$ to $c_k^L(E)$ with the incorporation of trivializations other than $\det E$.

Example 2.6. Let Q be an $\text{SO}(3)$ -vector bundle on X . Suppose Q is spin^c so that $w_2(Q)$ has integral lifts in $H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$. Fix such a lift L viewed as a line bundle as well as a connection A on it. Then Q together with connection ∇ will lift to a unique $\text{U}(2)$ -vector bundle $\tilde{Q}$ with connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ such that $\det(\tilde{Q}) = L, \det \tilde{\nabla} = A$. We can interpret $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\nabla}$ and especially the Chern classes $c_k(\tilde{Q})$ in terms of our twisted vector bundle theory. For this purpose choose any open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X such that $L|_{U_i}$ has a square root $K_i := \sqrt{L}$ on U_i . Thus $K = \{K_i\}$ is a trivialization of the gerbe $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{ij}\}$ where $L_{ij} = K_j \otimes K_i^*$ by definition. (The gerbe $\mathcal{L}$, first observed in [29], can be formally viewed as being given by $\sqrt{L}$, namely $\mathcal{L}$ is the obstruction to the existence of a global squared root of L . Similarly there is a gerbe given by the n -th root $\sqrt[n]{L}$. More on real gerbes can be found in [27].) Moreover A leads to a unique twisted connection $\tilde{A} = \{\tilde{A}_i\} \prec \mathcal{A}$ on K , where $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{ij}\}$ and A_{ij} is the tensor product connection $\tilde{A}_j \otimes \tilde{A}_i^*$ on L_{ij} . Now on each U_i , lift $\tilde{Q}$ to an $\text{SU}(2)$ vector bundle E_i so that $E = \{E_i\}$ is a twisted bundle over $\mathcal{L}$. Then ∇ lifts to a unique twisted connection $D = \{D_i\} \prec \mathcal{A}$ on E . Now one can check easily that

$$\tilde{Q} = E_i \otimes K_i, \tilde{\nabla} = D_i \otimes \tilde{A}_i, c_k(\tilde{Q}) = c_k^L(E),$$

where $L = K^2 \prec \mathcal{L}^2$ is viewed as a trivialization of $\mathcal{L}^2$. (Here K_i^2 is global since $\mathcal{L}^2$ is trivial as a Čech cycle not just as a Čech class.)

When Q is not spin^c , the line bundle L will not exist any more. Nonetheless, Q still lifts locally to a twisted $\text{SU}(2)$ bundle $E = \{E_i\}$ over some gerbe $\mathcal{L}$. (The non-trivial gerbe class $[\mathcal{L}]$ equals $W_3(Q) \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$.) The **essence** of our theory above is *to replace L with a trivializing twisted bundle of $\mathcal{L}^2$, and such a trivialization always exists!* More generally, the lesson for our entire paper is this: Given twisted data such as E, D that are defined on local open sets only, one should couple them with **objective** gerbe data in order to get global data on X .

Remark 2.7. We now compare our Definition 2.2 with several existing definitions of Chern classes in the literature.

1) To get the topological invariance, it was important and indeed necessary for us to impose the condition that mB be objective in Theorem 2.1. For an arbitrary flat B-field B , without invoking L or assuming mB to be objective, one can still prove that $\phi(E; \mathcal{A}, B, D) = \det(I + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \tilde{F}_D)$ is closed. (Indeed one has a Bianchi identity directly from the following computation

$$d\tilde{F}_D = d(F_{D_i} - B_i I) = dF_{D_i} = [F_{D_i}, \theta] = [\tilde{F}_D, \theta],$$

where θ is the connection matrix of D_i under a local frame and the last equation follows from $[B_i I, \theta] = 0$ since B_i is a 2-form.) One could then try to define the Chern class of E as $\tilde{c}_k(E) = [\phi_k(E; \mathcal{A}, B, D)]$. In terms of bundle gerbe modules, this seems to correspond to the Chern character using an arbitrary curving f in Section 6.3 of Bouwknegt *et al* [2]. However such an expanded definition in either place has the issue that $\tilde{c}_k(E)$ is not well-defined but depends on the choices made for $\mathcal{A}, B, D$. Without invoking L , it is not possible to characterize such dependence. The indeterminacy is essentially due to the fact that the compatibility between B and $\mathcal{A}$ only mildly constrains B by $\mathcal{A}$.

2) In [5], Căldăraru proposed to define the Chern classes $c^W(E)$ of E as the regular Chern classes $c(E \otimes W)$, where W is a fixed twisted vector bundle over $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$. The main problem in this approach is that no natural choices were given for W in applications. In comparison, our choice L as a trivialization of $\mathcal{L}^m$ is pertinent and natural, and does indeed reflect the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ is an m -torsion as the underlying gerbe of E . Compare also with [14], where B is taken to be a global closed 2-form on X . However this works only because the gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial for their applications in K3-complex surfaces X .

3) When the gerbe connection $\mathcal{A}$ is flat, namely all $F_{A_{ij}} = 0$, one can take $B_i = 0$ for all i . (This is a constraint on L .) Thus $\{F_{D_i}\}$ is already a global section of $\text{End}(E)$ and our Chern classes $c_k^L(E)$ are

given by $\det(I + \frac{1}{2\pi i} F_{D_i})$. Passing over to bundle gerbe modules, this special case should correspond to the Chern classes in [21, 23].

It is an interesting problem to apply the objective Chern-Weil theory to various index theorems and twisted K-theory, which we hope to return in a future work.

3. OBJECTIVE SECTIONS AND SUBBUNDLES OF TWISTED BUNDLES

We begin by the following definition; the second part seems to be brand new.

Definition 3.1. Suppose $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ and $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m$. As in formula (2), $\mathcal{L}$ comes with nowhere vanishing local sections $\xi = \{\xi_{ij}\}$.

1) A *twisted section* of E is a collection of local sections $s = \{s_i\}$ of E_i satisfying $s_j = s_i \otimes \xi_{ij}$ under the identification $E_j = E_i \otimes L_{ij}$.

2) Note $L^{-1} \prec \mathcal{L}^{-m}$ and the latter carries local sections $\xi^{-m} = \{\xi_{ij}^{-m}\}$. Suppose ξ^{-m} is objective, namely there are local sections $t = \{t_i\}$ of L^{-1} such that $t_j = t_i \otimes \xi_{ij}^{-m}$. We will rephrase s as a *t-objective section* of E in this context, in order to emphasize the relevance of $\{t_i\}$.

The main point of introducing objective sections is that $s_i \otimes \sqrt[m]{t_i}$ will be a global section, namely $s_i \otimes \sqrt[m]{t_i} = s_j \otimes \sqrt[m]{t_j}$ on the overlap, whenever $\sqrt[m]{t_i}$ is properly defined. Of course here t is just a twisted section of L^{-1} over $\mathcal{L}^{-m}$. We use L^{-1} instead of L , since t_i may vanish so t_i^{-1} may not exist.

Since generally ξ^{-m} is not objective, the existence of t does constrain s via ξ . In fact one checks readily the existence of a nowhere vanishing t is equivalent to that the Čech 2-cycle $\underline{z} = \{z_{ijk}\}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ has order m : $\underline{z}^m = 1$. By Proposition 1.1, such a 2-cycle exists only on special covers.

From sections we can define twisted bundle homomorphisms in a rather formal way.

Definition 3.2. Suppose $F \prec \mathcal{K}, E \prec \mathcal{L}$ are twisted vector bundles of ranks n, m respectively. Let $K \prec \mathcal{K}^n, L \prec \mathcal{L}^m$ be chosen trivializations.

1) A *twisted homomorphism* $f : F \rightarrow E$ is just any twisted section of the bundle $F^* \otimes E \prec \mathcal{K}^* \otimes \mathcal{L}$.

2) From a twisted homomorphism $g : L^n \rightarrow K^m$, one has then a *g-objective homomorphism* f . Here $L^n \prec \mathcal{L}^{mn}, K^m \prec \mathcal{K}^{nm}$ are the associated twisted bundles. (Note that g maps in the opposite direction as f .)

More clearly, the gerbes $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{L}$ come with local trivializations η_{ij}, ξ_{ij} as in Equation (2). They give rise to bundle isomorphisms $\chi_{ij} : K_{ij} \rightarrow L_{ij}$

by sending η_{ij} to ξ_{ij} . Then $f = \{f_i : F_i \rightarrow E_i\}$ should satisfy

$$f_j = f_i \otimes \chi_{ij} \text{ on } F_j = F_i \otimes K_{ij}.$$

Moreover $g = \{g_i : L_i^n \rightarrow K_i^m\}$ and $g_j = g_i \otimes \chi_{ij}^{-mn}$ on $L_j^n = L_i^n \otimes L_{ij}^{mn}$, where χ_{ij}^{-1} is the inverse of χ_{ij} . For a g -objective homomorphism f , $f \otimes \sqrt[mn]{g}$ will be a global homomorphism if suitably defined.

In particular we can define a twisted or g -objective subbundle $F \prec \mathcal{K}$ of $E \prec \mathcal{L}$. However in this approach, it is unclear how $\mathcal{K}$ should be tied to $\mathcal{L}$. Obviously one can not always choose the same gerbe $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}$ for all subbundles. For example for a twisted line bundle F , the gerbe $\mathcal{K}$ must be trivial. Thus if $\mathcal{L}$ is non-trivial, then E does not allow any twisted line subbundles if one insists on using the same gerbe.

To handle the issue more decisively, we shall adopt a different approach for twisted subbundles and make a comparison with the above general approach at the end. We start by the following arithmetic result. All integers are assumed to be positive.

Lemma 3.3. *Given two integers $n \leq m$, let $T = T_{n,m}$ denote the set of integers d such that each nd is divisible by m . Then T contains two special elements $m_* = \frac{m}{\gcd(m,n)}$ and $m^* = C(m,n)$. Moreover m_* is the common divisor hence the smallest in T .*

The last statement is proved by factoring m, n into products of primes. (Of course $m \in T$ and $m_* \leq m \leq m^*$, hence the notations.) The key issue in defining twisted subbundles is to spell out what should be the underlying twisting gerbes.

Definition 3.4. Suppose $E = \{E_i\} \prec \mathcal{L}$ is a rank m twisted vector bundle. An $\mathcal{L}^d$ -twisted subbundle F of rank n is a collection of subbundles $\{F_i\}$ of $\{E_i\}$ such that F by itself is a twisted bundle over $\mathcal{L}^d$, where $d \in T_{n,m}$.

The definition makes sense since each $\mathcal{L}^d$ is indeed an n -torsion gerbe by the definition of T . If $n = 1$, then $m_* = m^* = m$ and any twisted line subbundle in this case is over the gerbe $\mathcal{L}^m$, hence a trivialization of $\mathcal{L}^m$. For other $d \in T$, an $\mathcal{L}^d$ -twisted line subbundle of E may be subject to a bigger gerbe $\mathcal{L}^{ms}$ for some s . The proposition below exhibits certain compatibilities with wedge product and subbundle operations.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ is a rank m twisted bundle and F, W are twisted bundles of ranks n, r .*

1) *If $F \subset E$ is an $\mathcal{L}^{m^*}$ -twisted subbundle, then the wedge product $\wedge^k F$ is also an $\mathcal{S}^{m^*}$ -twisted subbundle of $\wedge^k E$, where $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{L}^k$ is the twisting gerbe of $\wedge^n E$.*

2) If $F \subset E, W \subset F$ are respectively $\mathcal{L}^d$ and $\mathcal{G}^{d'}$ -twisted subbundles, where $d \in T_{n,m}, d' \in T_{r,n}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{L}^d$ is the twisting gerbe of F , then $W \subset F$ is a $\mathcal{L}^{dd'}$ -twisted subbundle.

Proof. 1) Since $\wedge^k F$ is over the gerbe $(\mathcal{L}^{m*})^k = \mathcal{S}^{m*}$, to show $\wedge^k F \subset \wedge^k E$ is an $\mathcal{S}^{m*}$ -twisted subbundle, one only needs to check $m^* \in T_{n',m'}$ where $n' = \text{rank}(\wedge^k F), m' = \text{rank}(\wedge^k E)$. This in turn follows from the identity:

$$C(n, k)C(m, n) = C(m - k, n - k)C(m, k).$$

(However $m^* \neq (m')^*$, the latter being $C(m', n')$ by definition.)

2) This amounts to the natural map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{n,m} \times T_{r,n} & \longrightarrow & T_{r,m} \\ (d, d') & \mapsto & dd' \end{array}$$

which can be confirmed directly. q.e.d.

Note that statement 1) is false if $\mathcal{L}^{m*}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{L}^{m*}$. Namely for an $\mathcal{L}^{m*}$ -twisted subbundle $F \subset E$, the wedge product $\wedge^k F \prec \mathcal{S}^{m*}$ may not be a twisted subbundle of $\wedge^k E$, as $m_* \notin T_{n',m'}$ in general. (For instance take $m = 6, n = 4, k = 3$.)

Remark 3.6. For applications of subbundles, one often fixes a choice of $d \in T$. Proposition 3.5 indicates that there is an advantage in selecting $d = m^*$. For example, given an $\mathcal{L}^{m*}$ -twisted subbundle $F \subset E$, its determinant $\det F$ is then a twisted line bundle over the gerbe $\mathcal{S}^{m*}$. This is consistent with $\det F \subset \wedge^n E$ being an $\mathcal{S}^{(m')^*}$ -twisted line subbundle, where $(m')^* = m' = \text{rank}(\wedge^n E) = m^*$.

One can easily interpret $\mathcal{L}^d$ -twisted subbundles using twisted homomorphisms of 3.2.

Proposition 3.7. Suppose $F \subset E$ is an $\mathcal{L}^d$ -twisted subbundle.

1) Then F is the same as an injective twisted homomorphism $f : F \rightarrow E$, which satisfies $f_j = f_i \otimes \chi_{ij}$ on $F_j = F_i \otimes L_{ij}^d$, where $\chi_{ij} : L_{ij}^d \rightarrow L_{ij}$ maps the basis ξ_{ij}^d to the basis ξ_{ij} .

2) Assume the defining cycle $\underline{z}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is of order m so that L_i carries a basis e_i with $e_j = e_i \otimes \xi_{ij}^d$ by Proposition 1.1. Then F is objective in the sense that f is a g -objective homomorphism, where $g = \{g_i : L_i^n \rightarrow L_i^{nd}\}$ and g_i maps the basis e_i^d to e_i^{nd} .

Proof. 1) It follows from $F \prec \mathcal{L}^d$.

2) Since $F \prec \mathcal{L}^d$, we have $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}^d$ and $K = L^k$ in the notations of 3.2, where $nd = mk$. Hence g here maps L^n to $K^m = L^{km} = L^{nd}$. q.e.d.

Note that f, g depend on the choices of the trivializations (bases) $\{\xi_{ij}, e_i\}$. When $E = \{E_i\}$ is a collection of trivial bundles, there is an associated collection of bases $\{e_i\}$ on $L = \{\det E_i\} \prec \mathcal{L}^m$.

Twisted subbundles are a subtle issue, but have been neglected in the literature so far. We should find both definitions 3.2 and 3.4 useful in different contexts and can feel free to switch between them accordingly.

4. TWISTED HERMITIAN-EINSTEIN METRICS AND STABILITY

A Hermitian gerbe metric $\mathcal{H} = \{h_{ij}\}$ on $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{ij}\}$ is any family of fiber metrics h_{ij} on L_{ij} such that all trivializations s_{ijk} of L_{ikj} have norm 1 under the induced product metrics h_{ijk} .

Take now (X, Φ) to be a Kähler manifold of complex dimension n . Fix a holomorphic gerbe $\mathcal{L}$, namely all L_{ij} and s_{ijk} are holomorphic. In this case the gerbe class $[z_{ijk}]$ lives in $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}^*)$, the sheaf cohomology of non-vanishing holomorphic functions. Then there is a unique connection $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{ij}\}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ such that each A_{ij} is compatible with H_{ij} and the holomorphic structure on L_{ij} in the usual sense. Suggested by the curvature of $\mathcal{A}$, we say further that a B-field $B = \{B_i\}$ is *compatible with $\mathcal{H}$ and the holomorphic structure on $\mathcal{L}$* if each B_i is purely imaginary and $B_i^{0,2} = 0$ respectively. Thus B_i must be purely imaginary $(1,1)$ -forms. However there are infinitely many compatible B-fields, unlike the case of a unique compatible connection $\mathcal{A}$.

Choose a compatible B-field $B \in \Omega^{1,1} \cap i\Omega^2$. Let $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ be a twisted vector bundle of rank m with a Hermitian metric (which restricts to $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{L}$).

Definition 4.1. Suppose $D \prec \mathcal{A}$ is a $(1,1)$ -Hermitian connection on E , namely all its curvature $F_{D_i} \in \Omega^{1,1}(\text{End}(E_i))$. Then D is called *B-twisted Hermitian-Yang-Mills*, if its curvature satisfies

$$(4) \quad i\Lambda \tilde{F}_D = i\Lambda(F_D - BI) = cI$$

for some real constant c on X . Here $\Lambda \tilde{F}_D = \tilde{F}_D \cdot \Phi$ is the usual projection $\Omega^{1,1} \rightarrow \Omega^0$ along the direction of $\Phi \in \Omega^{1,1}$.

Let us do some preliminary analysis of the nature of the equation (4). Since BI is diagonal with equal entries, (4) splits into a pair of equations:

$$(5) \quad \Lambda F_D = \frac{\text{tr}(\Lambda F_D)}{m} I, \quad i \text{tr}(\Lambda F_D) - i m \Lambda B = mc.$$

By taking a refinement cover we may further assume D to be an $\text{SU}(m)$ -connection. Then (5) in turn reduces to equations

$$(6) \quad \Lambda F_D = 0, \quad \Lambda B = ic.$$

In fact only the first equation is essential, since B is already fixed at the beginning and now it also needs to satisfy the second equation (which just means $B_i = \mathbf{i}c\Phi|_{U_i}$). More plainly, the first equation in (6) is elliptic on each open set U_i , despite that it is not well-defined on X as the restrictions $\Lambda F_{D_i} = 0, \Lambda F_{D_j} = 0$ do not match on $U_i \cap U_j$. While the second algebraic equation in B plays an auxiliary role only. It is introduced to compensate the first equation so together they compose the globally well-defined equation in (4). In other words, (4) amounts to solving the same kind of local elliptic differential equations $\Lambda F_{D_i} = 0$ as in the original gauge theory, once we choose one compatible B-field as a solution of $\Lambda B = \mathbf{i}c$.

In order to make use of the objective Chern class in Definition 2.2, we need to introduce the objective version of the concept: Suppose $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m$ is a holomorphic trivialization carrying a compatible Hermitian connection A . (The holomorphic gerbe class $[\mathcal{L}] \in H^2(X, \mathcal{O}^*)$ is an m -torsion, for example using the holomorphic twisted line bundle $\det E$.) Set $B = \frac{1}{m}F_A$. Since B consists of $(1,1)$ -purely imaginary forms, it is a B-field compatible with the Hermitian metric and holomorphic structure on $\mathcal{L}$. In this special case we say D is *A-objective Hermitian-Yang-Mills*. Thus we have $\Lambda \tilde{F}_D = \Lambda(F_D - \frac{1}{m}F_A) = cI$ and c is given specifically as

$$(7) \quad c = \frac{2n\pi}{n!\text{vol}(X)} \frac{\deg_L(E)}{m}$$

in terms of the L -twisted degree $\deg_L(E) := \int c_1^L(E)\Phi^{n-1}$.

Equivalently, one can switch the point of view by using metrics in place of connections:

Definition 4.2. Let $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m$ be a holomorphic trivialization and $\mathcal{H}^m$ be objective by a Hermitian metric H on L . Suppose $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ is a twisted holomorphic bundle with a Hermitian metric $h \prec \mathcal{H}$. Then h is called *H-objective Einstein* if the twisted curvature of the unique associated connection of h satisfies

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{i}\Lambda \tilde{F}_h = \mathbf{i}\Lambda(F_h - \frac{1}{m}F_H I) = cI.$$

Here F_H is the curvature of the H -compatible connection on L ; the Einstein constant factor c of E (with respect to L) is given by (7).

Remark 4.3. Since we don't assume the associated connection of h to be $\text{SU}(m)$, we will not attempt to solve the two equations in (6) separately with $B = \frac{1}{m}F_H$. Instead, we fix H as above and consider the consequences when the single equation (8) does have a solution for h . As a matter of fact it will prove quite useful to combine h, H

together and view them as a single metric locally in small neighborhood of any point.

Naturally E is called objective Hermitian-Einstein if it admits an objective Einstein metric with respect to some H and L . A few basic facts are recorded here for later use.

Proposition 4.4. 1) *Every twisted line bundle K is objective Hermitian-Einstein.*

2) *If E, E' are objective Hermitian-Einstein with constant factors c, c' , then so are $E^*, \wedge^r E, E \otimes E'$, with factors $-c, rc, c+c'$ respectively.*

3) *Assume E, E' are twisted over the same gerbe. Then $E \oplus E'$ is objective Hermitian-Einstein iff E, E' are so with equal constant factors.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check the statements. For future reference, let us just indicate the trivializations used to compute the various constant factors. For convenience set $\varphi = \frac{2n\pi}{n!\text{vol}(X)}$, which is a value depending on the Kähler metric Φ .

1) Suppose $K \prec \mathcal{L}$ with a trivialization $L \prec \mathcal{L}$. Then the Einstein constant is $\varphi \deg_L(K) = \varphi c_1(K \ominus L)$.

2) Suppose $E \prec \mathcal{L}, E' \prec \mathcal{L}'$ are twisted bundles of ranks m, m' . Choose trivializations $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m, L' \prec \mathcal{L}'^{m'}$. Then we have $E^* \prec \mathcal{L}^{-1}, \wedge^r E \prec \mathcal{L}^r, E \otimes E' \prec \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}'$, and their corresponding trivializations are $L^{-1}, L^w, L^{m'} \otimes L'^m$, where w denotes $C(m-1, r-1)$. The Einstein constants of $E^*, \wedge^r E, E \otimes E'$ are respectively given as

$$-\varphi \deg_L(E)/m, r\varphi \deg_L(E)/m, \varphi [\deg_L(E)/m + \deg_{L'}(E')/m'].$$

3) Here $E \prec \mathcal{L}, E' \prec \mathcal{L}'$, and also $E \oplus E' \prec \mathcal{L}$. However we may have totally different trivializations $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m, L' \prec \mathcal{L}'^{m'}$; after all E, E' may have different ranks m, m' in the first place. Then $E \oplus E'$ inherits the trivialization $L \otimes L' \prec \mathcal{L}^{m+m'}$. The condition on their Einstein constants means that $\deg_L(E)/m = \deg_{L'}(E')/m'$. Then the Einstein constant of $E \oplus E'$ equals φ times this common value. q.e.d.

Remark 4.5. Suppose $(E, h) \prec (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}), (L, H) \prec (\mathcal{L}^m, \mathcal{H}^m)$ as above and h is H -objective Einstein. For a second trivialization $(L', H') \prec (\mathcal{L}^m, \mathcal{H}^m)$, it is simple to show that h is H' -objective Einstein iff the difference metric $\hat{H} = H' \ominus H$ on $\ell = L' \ominus L$ is Einstein in the usual sense. Since the holomorphic line bundle ℓ always admits an Einstein metric, E being objective Hermitian-Einstein is essentially independent of the choice of a trivialization $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m$ (with a suitable choice of metrics on L).

Next we consider the algebro-geometric counterpart.

Definition 4.6. Suppose $\mathcal{L}, L, E$ are as in 4.2. Then E is called *L-objective stable* (or just *L-stable*) if for every holomorphic $\mathcal{L}^{mw}$ -twisted line subbundle $K \subset \wedge^r E$, $1 \leq r < m$, we have

$$(9) \quad C(m, r) \deg_{L^w}(K) < \deg_{L^w}(\wedge^r E)$$

where $w = C(m-1, r-1)$ as in Corollary 2.4.

The word “objective” is employed in the definition because of the involvement of L . To be sure we have the degrees

$$\deg_{L^w}(K) = \int c_1^{L^w}(K) \wedge \Phi^{n-1}, \quad \deg_{L^w}(\wedge^r E) = \int c_1^{L^w}(\wedge^r E) \wedge \Phi^{n-1}.$$

Note that the same trivialization L^w is used for both Chern classes here. By Corollary 2.4, it is possible to express (9) as

$$(10) \quad \frac{\deg_{L^w}(K)}{r} < \frac{\deg_L(E)}{m}.$$

One can also define the notion of objective semi-stability by replacing $<$ with $\leq$ in the formulas (9) or (10).

Proposition 4.7. *Let $E \prec \mathcal{L}, Q \prec \mathcal{S}$ be twisted holomorphic bundles of ranks $m, 1$ respectively.*

- 1) *Q is objective stable.*
- 2) *E is objective stable iff E^* is objective stable.*
- 3) *E is L-objective stable iff $E \otimes Q$ is $L \otimes Q^m$ -objective stable.*
- 4) *Suppose $E' \prec \mathcal{L}$ is another twisted bundle with the slope equal to that E . If E, E' are both L-semistable, then $E \oplus E'$ is L-semistable. (But it can not be L-stable unless their degrees are zero.)*

Proof. All statements are generalizations of the standard stability and can be proved in a similar fashion.

1) This is evident since $\wedge^1 Q = Q$ does not have any nontrivial proper sub-twisted bundle.

2) As in the usual case, one can use quotient twisted bundles (sheaves) and a short exact sequence to prove the statement.

3) Note $\wedge^r(E \otimes Q) = \wedge^r E \otimes Q^r$ and any sub-twisted bundle $K \subset \wedge^r E \otimes Q^r$ can be written uniquely as $K = K' \otimes Q^r$ for some sub-bundle $K' \subset \wedge^r E$, since Q^r is a twisted line bundle.

4) Of course $E \oplus E' \prec \mathcal{L}$. Here any $K \subset \wedge^r(E \oplus E') = \wedge^r E \oplus \wedge^r E'$ has a unique decomposition $K'' \oplus K'$. The rest of the proof is clear by working componentwise. q.e.d.

The L -stability of a bundle does depend on the choice of the trivialization L up to a point. More precisely we have the following:

Proposition 4.8. *Suppose $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ and $L, L' \prec \mathcal{L}^m$ with difference bundle $\ell = L \ominus L'$.*

1) *If E is L -stable and $\deg(\ell) = \int c_1(\ell) \wedge \Phi^{n-1} \leq 0$, then E is also L' -stable.*

2) *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a gerbe given by $\sqrt[m]{\ell^{-1}}$ (see Example 2.6) and $Q \prec \mathcal{S}$ the associated trivialization. Then E is L -stable iff $E \otimes Q$ is L' -stable.*

3) *When the gerbe (class) $\mathcal{L}$ is trivial, the objective stability corresponds to the standard stability.*

Proof. 1) Let $K \subset \wedge^r E$ be any $\mathcal{L}^{mw}$ -twisted line subbundle. By Corollary 2.4, $\deg_{L'}(K) = \deg_L(K) + w \deg(\ell)$ and $\deg_{L'}(\wedge^r E) = \deg_L(\wedge^r E) + w \deg(\ell)$. The results follows easily.

2) One just needs to note that Q^m is identified naturally with ℓ^{-1} . Then by Proposition 4.7, E is L -stable iff $E \otimes Q$ is $L \otimes Q^m = L'$ -stable.

3) By assumption, there is a trivialization $J \prec \mathcal{L}^{-1}$. Thus $W := E_i \otimes J_i$ is a global holomorphic bundle. Then one shows, via Proposition 4.7, that E is objective stable iff W is stable in the usual sense. (The usual stability condition actually involves all proper coherent subsheaves $V \subset W$ of arbitrary ranks r , from which $\det V \subset \wedge^r E$. Then one needs to apply the familiar fact that any reflexive rank-1 sheaf is locally free, i.e. a line bundle. Compare also with the Appendix of [7].) q.e.d.

5. STABILITY OF OBJECTIVE HERMITIAN-EINSTEIN BUNDLES

We establish here one direction of the generalized Hitchin-Kobayashi correspondence that every objective Hermitian-Einstein bundle is objective stable. More precisely we have the following result. We follow the proof of Lübke [17] closely as described by Chapter V of Kobayashi [15].

Theorem 5.1. *Consider a rank m -holomorphic twisted bundle $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ together with a trivialization L of $\mathcal{L}^m$. If there is a Hermitian metric H on L such that E admits an H -objective Einstein metric, then E is L -twisted semistable. Moreover $E = E^1 \oplus E^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus E^k$, where $E^1, \dots, E^k$ are all twisted bundles over $\mathcal{L}$, objective stable, and objective Hermitian-Einstein with equal constant factors.*

Proof. Take any $\mathcal{L}^{mw}$ -twisted holomorphic line subbundle $K \subset \wedge^r E$ for each r such that $1 \leq r < m$. In view of (7), the semistable version of (10) says that to show E is L -twisted semistable, we need to prove

$$(11) \quad rc - c' \geq 0$$

always holds. Here c, c' are respectively the constant factors of the H -objective Einstein metrics on E and K .

The inclusion $K \subset \wedge^r E$ yields a nowhere vanishing twisted section $s = \{s_i\}$ of $\hat{E} = \wedge^r E \otimes K^* \prec \hat{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}^r \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-mw}$. Set $\hat{L} \prec \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{\hat{m}}$ to be the trivialization induced by L , where $\hat{m} = C(m, r)$ is the rank of $\hat{E}$. By taking refinement cover if necessary, we can assume s to be objective (see Definition 3.1), so there are nowhere vanishing local holomorphic sections $\xi = \{\xi_{ij}\}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ and $t = \{t_i\}$ of $\hat{L}$ such that

$$(12) \quad s \prec \xi, \quad t \prec \xi^{\hat{m}}.$$

(Here we adjust the notation t slightly based on the fact that the holomorphic gerbe $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$ is of order $\hat{m}$.)

Let $\hat{H}$ be the metric on $\hat{L}$, that is induced by H . By Proposition 4.4, $\hat{E}$ carries an $\hat{H}$ -objective Einstein metric $\hat{h}$ with the constant factor $rc - c'$. Define a family of local functions $f = \{f_i\}$ on our manifold X , where each

$$f_i = \|s_i\|_{\hat{h}}^2 / \sqrt[\hat{m}]{\|t_i\|_{\hat{H}}^2}.$$

Note that $\hat{h} \prec \hat{\mathcal{H}}, \hat{H} \prec \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{\hat{m}}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is the gerbe metric on $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$. This and relations (12) together yield the key fact that f is a globally well-defined (smooth) function on X . Thus f must have a maximum q on X .

Take any point $x_0 \in f^{-1}(q)$ and a coordinate neighborhood V . Introduce the functional on V ,

$$J(f) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta},$$

where $g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ are the components of the metric Φ . Now choose V so small that $V \subset U_i$ for some i and $\sqrt[\hat{m}]{t_i}$ exists as a holomorphic section of $\sqrt[\hat{m}]{\hat{L}_i}$ on V . Thus we have a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section on V ,

$$\check{s} = s_i \otimes (t_i)^{-1/\hat{m}} \in \Gamma(\hat{E}_i \otimes \hat{L}_i^{-1/\hat{m}}),$$

and $f = \|\check{s}\|_{\check{h}}^2$, where $\check{h} = \hat{h} \otimes \hat{H}^{-1/\hat{m}}$. Apply the standard Weitzenböck formula to the bundle $\check{E}_i = \hat{E}_i \otimes \hat{L}_i^{-1/\hat{m}}$ over V :

$$(13) \quad J(f) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} g^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \frac{\partial^2 \|\check{s}\|_{\check{h}}^2}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} = \|D_{\check{h}} \check{s}\|_{\check{h}}^2 - \check{R}(\check{s}, \check{s}).$$

Here $D_{\check{h}}$ is the unique compatible connection associated to $\check{h}$ (recall $\check{s}$ is holomorphic), and $\check{R}$ is its mean curvature. More precisely $\check{R}$ is the skew symmetric form corresponding to $\mathbf{i}\Lambda F_{\check{h}} \in \text{End}(\check{E}_i)$, where $F_{\check{h}}$ is the curvature of $D_{\check{h}}$ as before.

It is not hard to check that $\check{h}$ is $\check{H}$ -objective Einstein with factor $rc - c'$ by Proposition 4.4. (Any metric H on L is certainly H -objective Einstein with factor 0 as $\deg_L(L) = 0$, and $\hat{H}^{-1/\hat{m}}$ is some power of H hence also objective Einstein with factor 0.) However by definition, $\check{H} = \hat{H} \otimes (\hat{H}^{-1/\hat{m}})^{\hat{m}} = 1$ is the trivial metric on the trivial twisting trivialization $\check{L}_i = \hat{L}_i \otimes (\hat{L}_i^{-1/\hat{m}})^{\hat{m}} = \mathbf{C}$. Thus $\check{h}$ is Einstein in the usual sense with the same factor $rc - c'$. This means $\mathbf{i}\Lambda F_{\check{h}} = (rc - c')I$ and (13) becomes here

$$(14) \quad J(f) = \|D_{\check{h}}\check{s}\|_{\check{h}}^2 - (rc - c')\|\check{s}\|_{\check{h}}^2.$$

We now return to the proof of (11). Suppose this were not the case but $rc - c' < 0$. Then the formula (14) above would yield that $J(f) \geq 0$ on V , in fact $J(f) > 0$, as $\check{s}$ never vanishes. Since $J(f)$ attains its maximum at the interior point $x_0 \in V$, the Hopf maximum principle says that f must be a constant on V . Hence $J(f) = 0$ on V , which is a contradiction.

Hence E is L -twisted semistable. Suppose E is however not quite L -objective stable, namely $rc - c' = 0$. Then we will seek the desirable decomposition as stated in the theorem. First (14) still says $J(f) = \|D_{\check{h}}\check{s}\|_{\check{h}}^2 \geq 0$ on V and the maximum principle again implies f is a constant on V , which means $V \subset f^{-1}(q)$. This is done for each $x_0 \in f^{-1}(q)$, so $f^{-1}(q)$ is open in X hence must be X as it is certainly closed. In other words, f is actually a constant function on X .

Now that f is a constant function, $J(f) = 0$ on X . To each $x \in X$, from (14), one has $D_{\check{h}}\check{s} = 0$ on a sufficiently small neighborhood $V_x \subset U_i$ for some i . Namely $\check{s}$ is $D_{\check{h}}$ -flat on V_x . Since we can arrange easily t_i to be $\hat{H}_i$ -flat (as L_i is a line bundle), we see that s_i , namely the inclusion $K_i \subset \wedge^r E_i$, is D_h -flat on V_x . It follows that $E = E'_x \oplus E''_x$ for some subbundles of ranks $r, m - r$ on V_x , with E'_x, E''_x both preserving the connection D_h . Namely the metric h splits with respect to the decomposition: $E'_x \perp E''_x$. As $x \in X$ varies, we obtain a refinement cover $\{V_x\}$ of $\{U_i\}$ as well as two bundles $E' = \{E'_x\}, E'' = \{E''_x\}$, both of which are twisted over the gerbe $\mathcal{L}$ restricted to the refinement cover. To this end we have the decomposition $E = E' \oplus E''$ on X , in which $E', E'' \prec \mathcal{L}$ both carry the induced Einstein metric by h . Repeat the same process for E', E'' . After finite many steps, we will have to stop. The final decomposition of E is what is required in the statement of the theorem. q.e.d.

Corollary 5.2. *If E is also indecomposable, namely $E \neq E' \oplus E''$ for any twisted bundles $E', E'' \prec \mathcal{L}$ of positive ranks, then E is L -objective stable.*

In fact, more is true. One can weaken the assumption by requiring additionally that E', E'' are objective stable in the direct sum.

6. EXISTENCE OF OBJECTIVE EINSTEIN METRICS ON STABLE BUNDLES

Now we work on the other direction of the correspondence, following the original papers [8, 9, 26] and the expositions [25, 15, 16, 12]. As in [25], we will adapt the approach that combines [8] and [26] together to our situation.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ is a rank- m holomorphic twisted bundle and $L \prec \mathcal{L}^m$ is a trivialization. If E is L -objective stable, then E admits an H -objective Einstein metric h for some Hermitian metric H on L .*

Proof. Although we state the theorem for an Einstein metric h , it is the most convenient to combine h, H together and solve the Einstein equation for the pair $\tilde{h} = (h, H)$. Namely we begin by introducing the set

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(h, H) : h, H \text{ are compatible twisted Hermitian metrics on } E, L\}.$$

Each h leads to a unique gerbe metric $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{L}$ and the compatibility means that $H \prec \mathcal{H}^m$. In a small neighborhood of any point, it will be essential to view $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{M}$ as an ordinary (untwisted) Hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_i = h_i \otimes H_i^{-1/m}$ on the bundle $\tilde{E}_i = E_i \otimes (\sqrt[m]{L_i})^*$ (where-ever existing). In this way the local picture matches that of the standard case in [8, 9, 26, 25], and similar local computations, including pointwise algebraic operations, can be carried over to our case without essential changes. The proof can then be repeated almost verbatim. We outline below the main steps and leave the detailed checking to the interested reader.

Step 1. Define a Donaldson type functional $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$.

Dropping the positive definiteness requirement for (h, H) in $\mathcal{M}$, we have the larger space $\mathcal{B}$ of pairs of compatible Hermitian forms on E, L . Here $\mathcal{B}$ is a Banach space containing $\mathcal{M}$ as a convex subset. This endows $\mathcal{M}$ with a Banach manifold structure and identifies the tangent space $T_{\tilde{h}}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}$ naturally at any point $\tilde{h}$. Fix some $\tilde{k} = (k, K) \in \mathcal{M}$ as a base point. Then $Q_1(\tilde{h}) = \{\log(\det(\tilde{k}_i^{-1}\tilde{h}_i))\}$ is defined, since $\tilde{k}_i, \tilde{h}_i$ are genuine metrics pointwise and $\tilde{k}_i^{-1}\tilde{h}_i \in \text{End}(\tilde{E}_i)$. In fact $Q_1(\tilde{h})$ is a globally well defined function on X by the internal compatibility of $\tilde{k}, \tilde{h}$ and $\text{End}(\tilde{E}_i) = \text{End}(E_i)$ canonically. Let $\tilde{h}(t) = (h(t), H(t))$ be a curve in $\mathcal{M}$ joining $\tilde{h}$ to $\tilde{k}$. Likewise we see that $h(t)^{-1}\partial_t h(t) \in \Omega^0(\text{End}(E))$ is a global section, where $\partial_t \tilde{h}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\tilde{h}(t)$. The associated connection

of $\tilde{h}_i$ on $\tilde{E}_i$ has the curvature $F_{\tilde{h}_i}$ equal to the twisted curvature $\tilde{F}_{h_i} = F_{h_i} - \frac{1}{m}F_{H_i}I$ of h . As it has used several times before, the collection $\{\tilde{F}_{h_i}\}$ yield a global section in $\Omega^2(\text{End}(E))$. Hence we have a global section $F_{\tilde{h}} = \{F_{\tilde{h}_i}\}$ as well and we can introduce a 2-form $Q_2(\tilde{h}) = \mathbf{i} \int_0^1 \text{Tr}[(\tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t)) \cdot F_{\tilde{h}(t)}] dt$ on X . Now define the functional

$$\mathcal{D}(\tilde{h}) = \int_X [Q_2(\tilde{h}) - \frac{c}{n} Q_1(\tilde{h}) \Phi] \wedge \frac{\Phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

with c the (potential) Einstein constant given in (7). To verify $Q_2(\tilde{h})$ is independent of the paths $\tilde{h}(t)$, it is sufficient to check

$$\oint_c \text{Tr}[(\tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t)) \cdot F_{\tilde{h}(t)}] dt \in \text{Im} \partial + \text{Im} \bar{\partial}$$

for any closed path $c = \tilde{h}(t) \subset \mathcal{M}$. The latter depends on the same kind of local computations as in the standard case [8] and they can be transplanted over directly.

Step 2. Establish the main properties of the grading flows for $\mathcal{D}$.

Given two tangent vectors $v, w \in \mathcal{B} = T_{\tilde{h}}\mathcal{M}$, $\tilde{h}^{-1}v$ and $\tilde{h}^{-1}w$ are global sections of $\text{End}(E)$. The Riemann metric on $\mathcal{M}$ is defined by the inner product $(v, w) = \int_X \text{Tr}(\tilde{h}^{-1}v \cdot \tilde{h}^{-1}w) \Phi^n$. For a smooth family of $\tilde{h}(t) \subset \mathcal{M}$, one has $\frac{d}{dt} Q_1(\tilde{h}(t)) = \text{Tr}(\tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t))$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_2(\tilde{h}(t)) - \mathbf{i} \text{Tr}(\tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t) \cdot F_{\tilde{h}(t)}) \in \text{Im} \partial + \text{Im} \bar{\partial}$$

by the same local computations as in [8, 15]. Hence one has the variation of the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{D}(\tilde{h}(t)) &= \int_X [\mathbf{i} \text{Tr}(\tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t) \cdot F_{\tilde{h}(t)}) - \frac{c}{n} \text{Tr}(\tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t)) \Phi] \wedge \frac{\Phi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \int_X \text{Tr}[\mathbf{i} \tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t) \cdot F_{\tilde{h}(t)} \wedge \Phi^{n-1} - \frac{c}{n} \tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t) \Phi^n] \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \int_X \text{Tr}[\tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t) (\frac{1}{n} \Lambda F_{\tilde{h}(t)} \Phi^n - \frac{c}{n} \Phi^n)] \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \int_X \text{Tr}[\tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \partial_t \tilde{h}(t) \cdot \tilde{h}(t)^{-1} \tilde{h}(t) (\mathbf{i} \Lambda F_{\tilde{h}(t)} - cI)] \frac{\Phi^n}{n!} \\ &= (\partial_t \tilde{h}(t), \tilde{h}(t) (\mathbf{i} \Lambda F_{\tilde{h}(t)} - cI)) \end{aligned}$$

which means the gradient vector field of $\mathcal{D}$ is $\text{grad} \mathcal{D}(\tilde{h}) = \tilde{h}(\mathbf{i} \Lambda F_{\tilde{h}} - cI)$. In view of $\Lambda F_{\tilde{h}} = \Lambda \tilde{F}_h$ and (8), it follows also that $\tilde{h} = (h, H)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{D}$ iff h is an H -objective Einstein metric.

A downward gradient flow $\tilde{h} = \tilde{h}(t)$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is then determined by the equation $\partial_t \tilde{h} = -\tilde{h}(\mathbf{i} \Lambda F_{\tilde{h}} - cI)$. Or in terms of the global section

$s(t) = \tilde{h}(0)^{-1}\tilde{h}(t)$ on $\text{End}(E)$,

$$(15) \quad \frac{ds}{dt} = -\Delta'_0 s - \mathbf{i}s(\Lambda F_{\tilde{h}(0)} - cI) + \mathbf{i}\Lambda(\bar{\partial}_0 s \cdot s^{-1}\partial_0 s)$$

where the $\Delta'_0, \partial_0, \bar{\partial}_0$ are the operators associated with the initial metric pair $\tilde{h}(0)$. This is a non-linear parabolic equation of the same type as in [8] and can be solved essentially in the same way. Briefly, by linearizing the equation and the Fredholm theory one obtains the short time existence, and by a continuity argument, one has the long time existence. In the end, together with the maximum principle one shows that starting at any $\tilde{h}(0) \in \mathcal{M}$, the evolution equation (15) has a unique solution $\tilde{h}(t)$ defined for all time t together with a uniform bound

$$(16) \quad \max_X |\Lambda F_{\tilde{h}(t)}| \leq C.$$

For the remaining proof we will set $\tilde{h}(0) = \tilde{k}$ so $\det(\tilde{k}^{-1}\tilde{h}(t)) = 1$ at $t = 0$. By the maximum principle again, we have $\det(\tilde{k}^{-1}\tilde{h}(t)) = 1$ identically along the entire flow.

Step 3. Under the previous assumption prove the following key estimate

$$(17) \quad \max_X |\log(\tilde{k}^{-1}\tilde{h}(t))| \leq C_1 + C_2 \mathcal{D}(\tilde{h}(t))$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where C_1, C_2 are positive constants independent of t .

The L -stability is used in this step. The estimate (17) substitutes for a weaker version in [9] for the case of projective varieties, whose proof requires a Mehta-Ramanathan's restriction theorem on stable bundles. Here we follow [25] closely, based on analytic results from [26]. It goes very briefly as follows.

Write $u(t) = \log(\tilde{k}^{-1}\tilde{h}(t)) \in \Omega^0(\text{End}(\tilde{E}))$; this is a self-adjoint endomorphism so with all real eigenvalues. (We point out that although $\tilde{E} = E_i \otimes (\sqrt[m]{L_i})^*$ involves the choice of the root $\sqrt[m]{L_i}$, the eigenvalues and the various norms of u are well-defined. This note should be kept in mind for the rest of the argument.) Because of (16), there are constants A_1, A_2 independent of t such that $\max_X |u| \leq A_1 + A_2 \|u\|_{L^1}$.

We will show (17) using proof by contradiction. Suppose it were not true. Then there are sequences of $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ and constants $B_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(18) \quad \|u_i\|_{L^1} = \|u(t_i)\|_{L^1} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \|u_i\|_{L^1} \geq B_i \mathcal{D}(\tilde{h}(t_i)).$$

Re-normalize $v_i = u_i / \|u_i\|_{L^1}$ so that $\|v_i\|_{L^1} = 1$. Moreover by (18), $\bar{\partial}v_i$ is bounded in L^2 . Thus there is a subsequence v_i weakly convergent to $v_\infty \in L^2_1$. The limit v_∞ is self-adjoint almost everywhere and it can be shown that its eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ are constants. Let $\{\gamma\}$ be the set

of intervals between the eigenvalues and for each γ choose a function $p_\gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $p_\gamma(\lambda_i) = 1$ for $\lambda_i < \gamma$ and $p_\gamma(\lambda_i) = 0$ otherwise.

Define $\pi_\gamma = p_\gamma(v_\infty) \in L_1^2(\text{End}(\tilde{E}))$. This can be viewed as an L_1^2 subbundle $\tilde{S}_\gamma$ of $\tilde{E}$ through projection in the sense that $\pi_\gamma^2 = \pi_\gamma$. By the main regularity theorem of [26], $\tilde{S}_\gamma$ is actually a smooth subsheaf of $\tilde{E}$. Since the proof is purely local, there is a smooth subsheaf S_γ of $\{E_i\}$ such that $\tilde{S}_\gamma = S_\gamma \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sqrt[m]{L_i})^*$ locally. Taking the determinant we set

$$K_\gamma = \det S_\gamma = (\wedge^b S_\gamma)^{**}$$

which is an $\mathcal{L}^{mw}$ -twisted line subbundle of $\wedge^b E$, where $b = \text{rank } S_\gamma = \text{Tr}(\pi_\gamma)$ and $w = C(m-1, b-1)$. We will show for one of the K_γ ,

$$(19) \quad \frac{\deg_{L^w}(K_\gamma)}{b} = \frac{\deg_{L^w}(K_\gamma)}{\text{Tr}(\pi_\gamma)} \geq \frac{\deg_L(E)}{m}.$$

That is to say, $C(m, b) \deg_{L^w}(K_\gamma) \geq \deg_{L^w}(\wedge^b E)$. This however violates the L -stability of E , yielding our expected contradiction.

To show (19) let $\tilde{k}_\gamma$ be the restriction of the base metric $\tilde{k}$ to $\tilde{S}_\gamma$. By direct computations, the curvature satisfies $\Lambda F_{\tilde{k}_\gamma} = \pi_\gamma \Lambda F_{\tilde{k}} \pi_\gamma + \Lambda(\bar{\partial} \pi_\gamma \partial \pi_\gamma)$ for every γ . It follows that $\deg_{L^w}(K_\gamma) = \mathbf{i} \int_X \text{Tr}(\pi_\gamma \Lambda F_{\tilde{k}}) \Phi^n - \int_X |\bar{\partial} \pi_\gamma|^2 \Phi^n$. Let a denote the biggest eigenvalue of v_∞ and a_γ the width of the interval γ . Then $v_\infty = a \text{Id} - \sum a_\gamma \pi_\gamma$ and a combination of degrees can be computed:

$$\begin{aligned} & a \deg_L(E) - \sum_\gamma a_\gamma \deg_{L^w}(K_\gamma) \\ &= \mathbf{i} \int_X \text{Tr}(v_\infty) \Lambda F_{\tilde{k}} \Phi^n + \int_X \sum_\gamma a_\gamma |\bar{\partial}(\pi_\gamma)|^2 \Phi^n \\ &= \mathbf{i} \int_X \text{Tr}(v_\infty) \Lambda F_{\tilde{k}} \Phi^n + \int_X (\sum_\gamma a_\gamma (dp_\gamma)^2(v_\infty)(\bar{\partial} v_\infty), \bar{\partial} v_\infty) \Phi^n \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from Lemma 5.4 of [25]. On the other hand,

$$a \cdot m - \sum \text{Tr}(\pi_\gamma) = \text{Tr}(v_\infty) = 0.$$

Together with the inequality above, this shows that (19) must hold for at least one γ .

Step 4. Existence of the objective Einstein metric as a limit metric.

Owing to the strong estimate (17), unlike [8], here we can avoid Uhlenbeck's theorems on removable singularities and Columbo gauges (which do not hold anyway in higher dimensions).

As before, set $s(t) = \tilde{k}^{-1} \tilde{h}(t) \in \Omega^0(\text{End}(E))$. Then (17) translates into $\max_X |\log(s)| \leq C_1 + C_2 \mathcal{D}(\tilde{h}(t))$, which yields two consequences:

- (i) $\mathcal{D}$ is bounded below along $\tilde{h}(t)$.

(ii) $\|s(t)\|_{L^p}$ is bounded above for each p .

To see (ii), just note $\mathcal{D}$ is decreasing along the downward flow $\tilde{h}(t)$, hence $s(t)$ is bounded in C^0 - as well as L^p -norms.

From a minimizing sequence of $\mathcal{D}$ by (i) and the mean value theorem, we have a sequence $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(20) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{D}(\tilde{h}(t_i)) = -\|\Lambda F_{\tilde{h}(t_i)} - cI\|_{L^2}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Then from the expression of $\mathcal{D}$ and noting $Q_1(\tilde{h}(t)) = 0$ identically here, one sees $\bar{\partial}(s(t_i))$ is bounded in L^p . Together with (ii), this implies that $s(t_i)$ is bounded in L_1^p . Choose $p > n$ and by the Kondrakov compactness of $L_1^p \hookrightarrow C^0$ there is a subsequence $s(t_i)$ convergent to s_∞ in C^0 . Then by (16) together with the gradient equation (15) and the maximum principle, it is possible to show that $|\Delta s(t_i)|$ is uniformly bounded on X in the sequence. (Compare the proof of Lemma 19 in [8].)

Thus for any p , $\Delta s(t_i)$ is bounded in L^p . By the ellipticity of the Laplace operator, this and (ii) together imply that $s(t_i)$ is bounded in L_2^p . By taking a subsequence if necessary, $s(t_i)$ weakly converges to s_∞ in L_2^p . In other words, $\tilde{h}(t_i) \rightharpoonup \tilde{h}_\infty = \tilde{k}s_\infty$ in the L_2^p -norm (with respect to $\tilde{k}$ as before). Consequently $F_{\tilde{h}_\infty} \in L^p$ exists as a distribution and $F_{\tilde{h}(t_i)} \rightharpoonup F_{\tilde{h}_\infty}$ in L^p . In view of (20), we now have $\Lambda F_{\tilde{h}_\infty} - cI = 0$ weakly. The standard elliptic regularity guarantees that $\tilde{h}_\infty$ must be smooth, and we have the desired H_∞ -objective Einstein metric h_∞ , which is read off $\tilde{h}_\infty = (h_\infty, H_\infty)$. q.e.d.

7. AN APPLICATION TO GAUGE THEORY: THE $SO(3)$ -MODULI SPACE

We have so far worked with twisted vector bundles. For slight ease in dealing with structure groups and associated bundles, it is also useful to introduce twisted principal bundles that can be briefly laid out as follows. Fix a central extension of Lie groups $1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$, for example take

$$(21) \quad 1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow U(n) \rightarrow PU(n) \rightarrow 1$$

including $1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow U(2) \rightarrow SO(3) \rightarrow 1$ at $n = 1$. In general the multiplication maps $m : Z \times G \rightarrow G$ and $m : Z \times Z \rightarrow Z$ are clearly group homomorphisms.

Under the group extension, a *principal gerbe* $\mathcal{P} = \{P_{ij}\}$ consists of a collection of principal Z -bundles satisfying the suitable conditions as in vector bundle gerbes. Here a “tensor product” $P_{ij} \otimes P_{jk}$ by definition is the associated principal Z -bundle $(P_{ij} \tilde{\times} P_{jk}) \times_m Z$ of the

fiber product via the multiplication homomorphism $m : Z \times Z \rightarrow Z$. A *twisted principal bundle* $Q \prec \mathcal{P}$ consists of a collection of G -bundles $Q = \{Q_i\}$ such that $Q_j = Q_i \otimes P_{ij}$, where the “tensor product” is the associated principal G -bundle $(Q_i \tilde{\times} P_{ij}) \times_m G$ via the homomorphism $m : Z \times G \rightarrow G$. Its projection $P(Q)$ via $G \rightarrow H$ is obviously a (untwisted) principal H -bundle. Of course one can also define twisted principal bundle of structure group Z (rather than G) over $\mathcal{P}$, because the tensor product makes sense here. Furthermore there is not much additional difficulty in defining gerbe connections, B-fields or twisted connections as in the case of twisted vector bundles.

To get our objective version, we assume $\mathcal{P}$ to be n -torsion, meaning there is a principal twisted Z -bundle $P \prec \mathcal{P}^n$, or equivalently, $n[\mathcal{P}] = 1 \in \check{H}^2(X, \underline{Z})$ in the sheaf cohomology of Z -valued smooth functions on X . (This is automatic under the extension (21).) Fix P and a twisted connection A on P . This brings a unique gerbe connection $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{P}$ so that $A \prec \mathcal{A}^n$. Then we can look for twisted connections D on Q that obey $D \prec \mathcal{A}$. Using the curvatures of D, A together, we can simply copy Section 2 to define P -objective Chern classes $c_k^P(Q)$, which are actually independent of the choices of A, D .

We now return to our main application, which will be based on twisted principal bundles under the extension (21). Take X to be a smooth Riemannian 4-manifold and $S \rightarrow X$ a principal $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -bundle. Historically the moduli space M_S of anti-self-dual connections on S has played an important role in the applications of gauge theory. One of the main issues is to do with the orientability and orientations of the moduli space and has been settled in Donaldson [11] for the important case that the Stiefel-Whitney class $w_2(S)$ has an integer lift (namely S is spin^c). Here our purpose is to handle the general case of an arbitrary $w_2(S)$.

More precisely let $\mathfrak{B}_S$ be space of connections on S modulo the gauge group. Each connection ∇ on S induces a connection d_∇ on the adjoint bundle $\mathfrak{g}_S = S \times_{ad} \mathfrak{so}(3)$. In turn we have the Fredholm operators

$$\delta_\nabla = -d_\nabla^* + d_\nabla^+ : \Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_S) \rightarrow (\Omega^0 \oplus \Omega_+^2)(\mathfrak{g}_S)$$

parameterized by $[\nabla] \in \mathfrak{B}_S$. Denote by $\Lambda_S \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_S$ the determinant line bundle of the family. Then the orientability and orientation of Λ_S correspond exactly to those of the moduli space M_S , whenever the latter is smooth.

Proposition 7.1. *For any $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -bundle S , the associated line bundle Λ_S is always orientable, namely trivial.*

Proof. This is mainly a modification of the proof in [11] for our twisted bundle set up. There are three ingredients. First, because of the exact sequence (21), by lifting S locally one has a twisted principal $U(2)$ -bundle $Q = \{Q_i\}$ over a principal gerbe $\mathcal{P}$. (The projection $P(Q)$ equals S and the original case with integral $w_2(S)$ corresponds to the trivial gerbe $\mathcal{P}$.) Choose a trivialization $P \prec \mathcal{P}^2$ and let

$$\mathfrak{B}_Q^P = \{(D, A) : D, A \text{ are compatible connections on } Q, P\} / \sim$$

modulo the twisted gauge transformation pairs. Here the compatibility means that $A \prec \mathcal{A}^2, D \prec \mathcal{A}$ for some unspecified gerbe connection $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{P}$. When a connection A^* on P is also chosen, each connection ∇ on S lifts to a unique twisted connection $D \prec \mathcal{A}$ on Q in view of (21). That is to say, one has an injective map $f = f_{A^*} : \mathfrak{B}_S \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_Q^P, [\nabla] \mapsto [D]$, with the image set $\mathfrak{B}_Q^{A^*} = \{[D] : (D, A_0) \in \mathfrak{B}_Q^P\}$.

For each pair $\tilde{D} = (D, A) \in \mathfrak{B}_Q^P$, consider locally $\tilde{D}$ as the connection $D_i \otimes (\sqrt{A_i})^{-1}$ on $Q_i \otimes (\sqrt{P_i})^{-1}$ on an open set U_i . In turn it induces a *global* connection

$$d_{\tilde{D}} : \Omega^0(\mathfrak{g}_Q^P) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_Q^P)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_Q^P$ is the associated untwisted vector bundle $(Q \otimes (\sqrt{P})^{-1}) \times_{\xi} \mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\xi : U(2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(2)$ is the adjoint representation composed with the projection. (Note the same can not be said for d_D without incorporating A .) As a matter of fact, one can identifies $\mathfrak{g}_Q^P = \mathfrak{g}_S$ canonically and $d_{\tilde{D}} = d_{\nabla}$ at $\tilde{D} = (D, A^*)$. In other words, $\Lambda_S = f^* \Lambda_Q^P$ is the pull-back of the determinant bundle of the Fredholm family

$$\delta_{\tilde{D}} = -d_{\tilde{D}}^* + d_{\tilde{D}}^+ : \Omega^1(\mathfrak{g}_Q^P) \rightarrow (\Omega^0 \oplus \Omega_+^2)(\mathfrak{g}_Q^P).$$

Thus to show the theorem, it is sufficient to prove $\Lambda_Q^P \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_Q^P$ is trivial. Since the choice of a trivialization $P \prec \mathcal{P}^2$ is immaterial to the discussion above, we may as well select $P_o = \det Q = Q \times_{\det} U(1)$ from now on. Then it remains to show that $\Lambda_Q^{P_o} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_Q^{P_o}$ is trivial.

Next using the homomorphism $\lambda : U(2) \rightarrow SU(3), u \mapsto \text{diag}(u, \det u^{-1})$, one introduces the twisted principal $SU(3)$ -bundle

$$Q^+ = (Q \otimes P_o^*) \times_{\lambda} SU(3).$$

(This resembles the stablization $E \rightsquigarrow E \oplus \det E^*$ from a $U(2)$ -vector bundle to an $SU(3)$ -bundle in the original proof of [11].) The canonical trivialization $\det Q^+$ is a global line bundle. It follows that $\mathfrak{B}_Q^{P_o}$ can be identified with the set $\mathfrak{B}_{Q^+}$ of twisted connections on Q^+ . Now that Q^+ has the structure group $SU(3)$, by making suitable homotopy computations similar to [11] and [12] (especially 5.4), one sees that elements in $H_1(\mathfrak{B}_{Q^+})$ all come from $[X, SU(3)] = K^{-1}(X)/H^1(X) =$

$H^3(X)$. More directly the slant product over the twisted Chern class $c_2^{P_o}(Q^+)$ gives such a homomorphism $H^3(X) \rightarrow H_1(\mathfrak{B}_{Q^+})$. Thus each loop ϕ in $\mathfrak{B}_Q^{P_o}$ has the form ϕ_γ for some loop γ in X via the Poincaré duality $H^3(X) \rightarrow H_1(X)$. And to show $\Lambda_Q^{P_o}$ is trivial, one must show the restriction $\Lambda_Q^{P_o}|_{\phi_\gamma}$ is so for any loop γ .

The last ingredient is to use the gluing to exhibit the loop ϕ_γ of connections in $\mathfrak{B}_Q^{P_o}$. This is a slight generalization from the case of standard $U(2)$ -connections in [10, 11] to our twisted connection pairs. So let $V \rightarrow S^4$ be the negative spinor bundle on the 4-sphere and J_λ be an instanton on V , flattened of a small scale λ around $\infty \in S^4$. Write the pair $\tilde{J}_\lambda = (J_\lambda, \det J_\lambda) \in \mathfrak{B}_V^{\det V}$, where V is viewed as a twisted bundle.

Choose a twisted bundle $Q' \prec \mathcal{P}$ with $c_2^{\det Q'}(Q') = c_2^{P_o}(Q) - 1$. Fix a twisted connection D' on Q' . Since $\mathfrak{g}_S, \Lambda_+^2$ are trivial $SO(3)$ -bundles over the loop γ , we can choose a lifting isomorphism $\rho : \mathfrak{g}_S|_\gamma \rightarrow \Lambda_+^2|_\gamma$ as our gluing parameter. Then for each pair $(D', A') \in \mathfrak{B}_{Q'}^{\det Q'}$ and any point $x \in \gamma$, we have the glued pair $[(D', A') \#_{\rho(x)} \tilde{J}_\lambda] \in \mathfrak{B}_Q^{P_o}$. Strictly speaking, it is better to work with the associated twisted vector bundles of Q', Q and D', A' should be flattened around x . In fact there is not much difference in gluing the twisted connections, since the gluing is done in a small neighborhood of x and essentially it is to glue component connections of D', A' with $J_\lambda, \det J_\lambda$. (As usual one should lift ρ to gluings between Q' and V . But the gauge classes $[(D', A') \#_{\rho(x)} \tilde{J}_\lambda]$ are independent of the liftings.) Fix a pair (D', A') and a uniform scale λ over the compact set γ . The result is a continuous family $[(D', A') \#_\rho \tilde{J}_\lambda]$ in $\mathfrak{B}_Q^{P_o}$, representing our loop ϕ_γ . The main estimates in 3(d) of [11] gives a continuous fiberwise isomorphism j in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^* \Lambda_{Q'}^{\det Q'} & \xrightarrow{j} & \Lambda_Q^{P_o} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \gamma \times \mathfrak{B}_{Q'}^{\det Q'} & \xrightarrow{\#_\rho \tilde{J}_\lambda} & \mathfrak{B}_Q^{P_o} \end{array}$$

where π is the obvious projection. Consequently the bundle restriction $\Lambda_Q^{P_o}|_{\phi_\gamma}$ is isomorphic to $\pi^* \Lambda_{Q'}^{\det Q'}|_{\gamma \times \{(D', A')\}}$, which is the pull back of the single fiber $\Lambda_{Q'}^{\det Q'}$ over the chosen point $[D', A']$ hence trivial. The triviality of $\Lambda_Q^{P_o}|_{\phi_\gamma}$ is established as required. q.e.d.

Furthermore, one can settle the orientations by using the excision to reduce to the case of a Kähler manifold X , where one can use the natural complex orientation.

Corollary 7.2. *For each P the moduli space M_S inherits a unique orientation $\mathfrak{o}_P$. Two such orientations $\mathfrak{o}_P, \mathfrak{o}_{P'}$ are the same iff $c_1^2(\ell)$ is even, where $c_1(\ell) \in H^2(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is the Chern class of the difference line bundle $\ell = P' \ominus P$.*

Regardless the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -vector bundle S , it seems to be an interesting problem to investigate directly the instanton moduli space $M^L(E)$ on a twisted $\mathrm{U}(2)$ -bundle $E \prec \mathcal{L}$ with a fixed trivialization $L \prec L^2$. Perhaps one could further extract Doanldson type invariants. In terms of algebraic geometry, this suggests the study of the moduli space of L -objective stable bundles.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.F. Atiyah, *K-theory, past and present*, Sitzungsberichte der Berliner Mathematischen Gesellschaft, 411-417, Berliner Math. Gesellschaft, Berlin, 2001.
- [2] P. Bouwknegt, A.L. Carey, V. Mathai, M.K. Murray, D. Stevenson, *Twisted K-theory and K-theory of bundle gerbes*, Comm. Math. Phys. **228** (2002) 17-45.
- [3] P. Bouwknegt, V. Mathai, *D-branes, B-fields and twisted K-theory*, J. High Energy Phys. **3** (2000) 7-25.
- [4] J.-L. Brylinski, *Loop spaces, characteristic classes and geometric quantization*, Progress in Mathematics 107, Birkhauser Boston, 1993.
- [5] A. Căldăraru, *Nonfine moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces*, Int. Math. Res. Notice, **20** (2002) 1027-1056.
- [6] D.S. Chatterjee, *On Gerbes*, Ph.D. thesis, Cambridge University, 1998.
- [7] R. Donagi, T. Pantev, B.A. Ovrut, R. Reinbacher, *SU(4) instantons on Calabi-Yau threefolds with $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ fundamental group*, J. High Energy Phys. 2004, no. 1, 022, 58 pp.
- [8] S.K. Donaldson, *Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **50** (1985) 1-26.
- [9] ———, *Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature*. Duke Math. J. **54** (1987) 231-247.
- [10] ———, *Connections, cohomology and the intersection forms of 4-manifolds*. J. Diff. Geom. **24** (1986) 275-341.
- [11] ———, *The orientation of Yang-Mills moduli spaces and 4-manifold topology*. J. Diff. Geom. **26** (1987) 397-428.
- [12] S.K. Donaldson, P.B. Kronheimer, *The geometry of four-manifolds*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1990.
- [13] N.J. Hitchin, *Lectures on special Lagrangian submanifolds*. Winter School on Mirror Symmetry, Vector Bundles and Lagrangian Submanifolds (Cambridge, MA, 1999) 151-182, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 23, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.

- [14] D. Huybrechts, P. Stellari, *Proof of Căldăraru's conjecture*, In Moduli spaces and arithmetic geometry, 31-42, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 45, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2006.
- [15] S. Kobayashi, *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles*, Princeton University Press, 1987.
- [16] J. Li, *Anti-self-dual connections and stable vector bundles*. Gauge theory and the topology of four-manifolds (Park City, UT, 1994), 23-49, IAS/Park City Math. Ser., 4, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [17] M. Lübke, *Stability of Einstein-Hermitian vector bundles*, Manuscripta Math. **42** ((1983) 245-257.
- [18] E. Lupercio, B. Uribe, *Gerbés over orbifolds and twisted K-theory*. Comm. Math. Phys. **245** (2004) 449-489.
- [19] M. Mackaay, *A note on the holonomy of connections in twisted bundles*, Cah. Topol. Geom. Differ. Categ. **44** (2003) 39-62.
- [20] M. Mackaay and R. Picken, *Holonomy and parallel transport for Abelian gerbes*, Adv. Math., **170** (2002) 287-339.
- [21] V. Mathai, R.B. Melrose, and I.M. Singer, *The index of projective families of elliptic operators*, Geom. Top. **9** (2005) 341-373.
- [22] M.K. Murray, *Bundle gerbes*, J. London Math. Soc., **54** (1996) 403-416.
- [23] M. Murray, M.A. Singer, *Gerbés, Clifford modules and the index theorem*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **26** (2004), 355-367.
- [24] J. Pan, Y. Ruan and X. Yin, *Gerbés and twisted orbifold quantum cohomology*, math.AG/0504369.
- [25] C.T. Simpson, *Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988) 867-918.
- [26] K.Uhlenbeck, S.T. Yau, *On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986) S257-S293.
- [27] S. Wang, *A Narasimhan-Seshadri-Donaldson correspondence over non-orientable surfaces*, Forum Math. **8** (1996) 461-474.
- [28] ———, *Gerbés, holonomy forms and real structures*, Comm. Contemp. Math. **11** (2009) 109-130.
- [29] A. Weinstein, *The Maslov gerbe*, Lett. Math. Phys. **69** (2004), 3-9.
- [30] R.O. Wells, *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*, Springer Verlag, 1980
- [31] K. Yoshioka, *Moduli spaces of twisted sheaves on a projective variety*, In Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic Geometry, pp. 1-30, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Vol 45, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MO
65211, USA

E-mail address: wangs@missouri.edu